

#### Image Reconstruction

Alberto Saborido Patiño

May 22, 2024

Fakultät Physik

Detector systems in particle and medical physics

**Basic concepts** 

Analytic reconstruction algorithms

3D image reconstruction

Algebraic reconstruction

Summary

Reference: Zeng, G. (2023). Medical Image Reconstruction: From Analytical and Iterative Methods to Machine Learning. Berlin, Boston: De Gruyter.

Basic concepts

Image reconstruction is the procedure to produce a tomographic image from projections.

Image reconstruction is the procedure to produce a **tomographic image** from **projections**.

Tomographic image



Image reconstruction is the procedure to produce a tomographic image from projections.

Tomographic image







Sinogram



Figure: A sinogram is a representation of the projections on the s- $\theta$  plane.

Basic concepts





## Backprojection



Figure: "Reconstruction" of a point source. In (*a*) some projections are taken from different positions. (*b*) shows the obtained backprojection using only those positions. (*c*) shows the obtained shape after backprojecting from all positions.

## Backprojection



Figure: "Reconstruction" of a point source. In (a) some projections are taken from different positions. (b) shows the obtained backprojection using only those positions. (c) shows the obtained shape after backprojecting from all positions.

Backprojection does most of the work, but we need some algorithm to reconstruct the original function.

## Mathematical definitions



Analytic reconstruction algorithms

## Central Slice Theorem (CST)



The 1D Fourier transform  $P(\omega)$  of the projection p(s) of a 2D function f(x, y) is equal to a slice (i.e., a 1D profile) through the origin of the 2D Fourier transform  $F(\omega_x, \omega_y)$  of that function which is parallel to the detector.

Over-weighting with low-frequency components blurs the image. This effect can be compensated in the Fourier space.

• Multiply the  $\omega_x - \omega_y$  space Fourier "image" by  $\sqrt{\omega_x^2 + \omega_y^2}$ .

Multiply the 1D Fourier transform  $P(\omega, \theta)$  of the projection data  $p(s, \theta)$  by  $|\omega|$ .



Figure: The procedure of the filtered backprojection (FBP) algorithm.

Starting with the 2D inverse Fourier transform in polar coordinates:

$$\begin{split} f(x,y) &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} F_{\text{polar}}(\omega,\theta) e^{2\pi i \omega (x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta)} \omega d\omega d\theta \quad ; \qquad F_{\text{polar}}(\omega,\theta) = F_{\text{polar}}(-\omega,\theta+\pi) \\ \implies f(x,y) &= \int_0^{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{\text{polar}}(\omega,\theta) |\omega| e^{2\pi i \omega (x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta)} d\omega d\theta \end{split}$$

Starting with the 2D inverse Fourier transform in polar coordinates:

$$\begin{split} f(x,y) &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} F_{\text{polar}}(\omega,\theta) e^{2\pi i \omega (x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta)} \omega d\omega d\theta \quad ; \qquad F_{polar}(\omega,\theta) = F_{polar}(-\omega,\theta+\pi) \\ \implies f(x,y) &= \int_0^{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{\text{polar}}(\omega,\theta) |\omega| e^{2\pi i \omega (x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta)} d\omega d\theta \end{split}$$

By using the central slice theorem, we can replace F by P:

$$f(x,y) = \int_0^{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(\omega,\theta) |\omega| e^{2\pi i \omega (x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta)} d\omega d\theta.$$

Starting with the 2D inverse Fourier transform in polar coordinates:

$$\begin{split} f(x,y) &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} F_{\text{polar}}(\omega,\theta) e^{2\pi i \omega (x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta)} \omega d\omega d\theta \quad ; \qquad F_{polar}(\omega,\theta) = F_{polar}(-\omega,\theta+\pi) \\ \implies f(x,y) &= \int_0^{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{\text{polar}}(\omega,\theta) |\omega| e^{2\pi i \omega (x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta)} d\omega d\theta \end{split}$$

By using the central slice theorem, we can replace F by P:

$$f(x,y) = \int_0^\pi \int_{-\infty}^\infty P(\omega,\theta) |\omega| e^{2\pi i \omega (x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta)} d\omega d\theta.$$

Let  $Q(\omega, \theta) = |\omega| P(\omega, \theta)$ , then

$$f(x,y) = \int_0^{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q(\omega,\theta) e^{2\pi i \omega (x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta)} d\omega d\theta.$$

$$f(x,y) = \int_0^{\pi} q(x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta, \theta)d\theta = \int_0^{\pi} q(s,\theta) \bigg|_{s=x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta} d\theta.$$

# Backprojection vs Filtered Backprojection





Figure: Reconstruction using 120 projections without ramp filter  $|\omega|$  (left) and with ramp filter (right).

$$(f \star g) = (t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\tau)g(t-\tau)d\tau$$

$$(f \star g) = (t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\tau)g(t-\tau)d\tau$$

• Multiplication by  $i2\pi\omega$  in the Fourier domain corresponds to the derivative with respect to s in the spatial domain.

$$(f \star g) = (t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\tau)g(t-\tau)d\tau$$

- Multiplication by  $i2\pi\omega$  in the Fourier domain corresponds to the derivative with respect to s in the spatial domain.
- The inverse Fourier transform of  $-i \operatorname{sgn}(\omega)$  is  $1/(\pi s)$ . Convolution with  $1/(\pi s)$  is called the Hilbert transform.

$$(f \star g) = (t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\tau)g(t-\tau)d\tau$$

- Multiplication by  $i2\pi\omega$  in the Fourier domain corresponds to the derivative with respect to s in the spatial domain.
- The inverse Fourier transform of -isgn( $\omega$ ) is  $1/(\pi s)$ . Convolution with  $1/(\pi s)$  is called the Hilbert transform.

 $\rightarrow\,$  These properties can be used to create new reconstruction algorithms.

• Method 1: FBP algorithm.

**Method 2**: The ramp-filtered data q(s, θ) can be obtained by convolution as:

 $q(s,\theta)=p(s,\theta)\star h(s),$ 

Here h(s) is the convolution kernel and is the 1D inverse Fourier transform of  $H(\omega) = |\omega|$ .

**Method 1**: FBP algorithm.

• Method 2: The ramp-filtered data  $q(s, \theta)$  can be obtained by convolution as:

$$q(s,\theta)=p(s,\theta)*h(s),$$

Here h(s) is the convolution kernel and is the 1D inverse Fourier transform of  $H(\omega) = |\omega|$ .

• Method 3: Let's factor the ramp filter into two parts:

$$H(\omega) = |\omega| = i2\pi\omega \cdot \frac{1}{i2\pi}sgn(\omega) = i2\pi\omega \cdot \frac{-i}{2\pi}sgn(\omega)$$
$$\implies q(s,\theta) = \frac{dp(s,\theta)}{ds} * \frac{-1}{2\pi^2s}$$

Method 4: Switch the order of ramp filtering and backprojection:

(i) Find the 2D Fourier transform of the blurred image obtained after backprojection b(x, y), obtaining  $B(\omega_x, \omega_y)$ .

 $(ii) \text{ Multiply } B\left(\omega_x, \omega_y\right) \text{ with a ramp filter } |\omega| = \sqrt{\omega_x^2 + \omega_y^2}, \text{ obtaining } F\left(\omega_x, \omega_y\right).$ 

(*iii*) Find the 2D inverse Fourier transform of  $F(\omega_x, \omega_y)$ , obtaining f(x, y).

• Method 4: Switch the order of ramp filtering and backprojection:

(i) Find the 2D Fourier transform of the blurred image obtained after backprojection b(x, y), obtaining  $B(\omega_x, \omega_y)$ .

(*ii*) Multiply  $B(\omega_x, \omega_y)$  with a ramp filter  $|\omega| = \sqrt{\omega_x^2 + \omega_y^2}$ , obtaining  $F(\omega_x, \omega_y)$ .

(*iii*) Find the 2D inverse Fourier transform of  $F(\omega_x, \omega_y)$ , obtaining f(x, y).

 $\rightarrow$  All the previous methods provide an exact reconstruction of the function f(x, y).

#### Fan-beam reconstruction



Figure: Comparison between parallel and fan beam reconstruction.



A fan-beam ray can be represented using the parallel-beam geometry parameters.

## Fan-beam algorithms



Figure: The procedure to change a parallel-beam algorithm into a fan-beam algorithm.

Possibility of short scan.

- Possibility of short scan.
- Extra dependence on the distance between focal point and reconstruction point, leading to more unstable algorithms and more computation time.
  - $\rightarrow$  Derivative + Hilbert transform algorithm gets rid of this problem.

- Possibility of short scan.
- Extra dependence on the distance between focal point and reconstruction point, leading to more unstable algorithms and more computation time.
  - $\rightarrow$  Derivative + Hilbert transform algorithm gets rid of this problem.
- Redundant measurements even when short scanning.
  - → Proper weighting during image reconstruction is needed.

- Possibility of short scan.
- Extra dependence on the distance between focal point and reconstruction point, leading to more unstable algorithms and more computation time.
  - $\rightarrow$  Derivative + Hilbert transform algorithm gets rid of this problem.
- Redundant measurements even when short scanning.
  - → Proper weighting during image reconstruction is needed.
- In real implementation, the integral  $h(\gamma) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\omega| e^{i2\pi\omega\gamma} d\omega$  (inverse Fourier transform of  $|\omega|$ ) is not performed from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$ , but a finite bandwidth is used. The uncertainties coming from this step can become important when short scan is employed.

3D image reconstruction

## Parallel line-integral data



Figure: Central slice theorem for the 3D line-integral projections.

## Parallel line-integral data



Figure: Central slice theorem for the 3D line-integral projections.



Figure: Cone beam data acquisition. There is no equivalent Central Slice Theorem.

Algebraic reconstruction

## Algebraic reconstruction



System of linear equations written in matrix form:

AX = P

where

$$X = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_9]^T$$

$$P = [p_1, p_2, ..., p_9]^T$$

$$A = weighting matrix$$

Figure: An example with nine unknowns and nine measurements.

## Algebraic reconstruction



System of linear equations written in matrix form:

AX = P

where

$$X = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_9]^T$$
$$P = [p_1, p_2, ..., p_9]^T$$
$$A \equiv weighting matrix$$

Inverting to obtain the desired matrix X:

 $X=A^{-1}P$ 

In general, calculate A<sup>-1</sup> is not an easy task.

Figure: An example with nine unknowns and nine measurements.

 $\implies$  Iterative methods that only use A and A<sup>T</sup> make sense in finding an approximate solution.

 $\implies$  Iterative methods that only use A and A<sup>T</sup> make sense in finding an approximate solution.

A good approach is to set up an objective function and minimise/maximise it.

 $\implies$  Iterative methods that only use A and  $A^T$  make sense in finding an approximate solution.

A good approach is to set up an objective function and minimise/maximise it.

- Least-squares minimisation:  $\chi^2 = |AX P|^2$ 
  - Use of singular value decomposition (SVD) to find a pseudo-inverse.
  - Gradient descent.

 $\implies$  Iterative methods that only use A and  $A^T$  make sense in finding an approximate solution.

A good approach is to set up an objective function and minimise/maximise it.

- Least-squares minimisation:  $\chi^2 = |AX P|^2$ 
  - Use of singular value decomposition (SVD) to find a pseudo-inverse.
  - Gradient descent.
- Maximise the likelihood of the probability density function associated with the noise in the projections.
   A Poisson distribution can be assumed.

#### FBP vs Iterative reconstruction



Figure: Comparison between the reconstruction obtained by filtered backprojection (a) and iterative reconstruction (b). Source: D. Fursevich *et al.*, "Bariatric CT Imaging: Challenges and Solutions".

Beer's law

The attenuation of X-rays along a line of material can be modeled using the Beer's law.



Summary

- Projection and backprojection are the fundamental concepts in image reconstruction.
- The Central Slice Theorem is a key tool to develop analytic image reconstruction algorithms.
- The algorithms must be adapted for the different geometries: parallel or fan beams.
- 3D image reconstruction can be achieved based on the same principles.
- Lately, iterative image reconstruction algorithms are getting more and more attention in medical image reconstruction.
- The projections are obtained by measuring the attenuation of the radiation through the body.

We start with the definition of the 1D Fourier transform:

$$P(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(s) e^{-2\pi i s \omega} ds$$

then use the definition of  $p(s, \theta)$ , obtaining

$$P(\omega,\theta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x,y) \delta(x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta - s) dx dy \right] e^{-2\pi i s \omega} ds.$$

Changing the order of integrals yields

$$P(\omega,\theta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x,y) \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta - s)e^{-2\pi i s \omega} ds \right] dxdy.$$

Using the property of the  $\delta$  function, the inner integral over s can be readily obtained and we have

$$P(\omega,\theta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x,y) e^{-2\pi i (x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta)\omega} dx dy,$$

that is,

$$P(\omega,\theta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x,y) e^{-2\pi i (xu+yv)} \Big|_{u=\omega\cos\theta, v=\omega\sin\theta} dxdy.$$

Finally, using the definition of the 2D Fourier transform yields

$$P(\omega, \theta) = F(\omega_x, \omega_y)\Big|_{\omega_x = \omega \cos \theta, \omega_y = \omega \sin \theta}$$